© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Public Health Association.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckad114

Editorial

No country is safe from a pandemic: insights into small countries' COVID-19 experiences

Sarah Cuschieri (b) 1,2, Dritan Bejko³, Saverio Stranges^{2,4,5}

- 1 Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine and Surgery, University of Malta, Msida, Malta
- 2 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Western University, London, Canada
- 3 Health Inspection Department, Health Directorate, Luxembourg
- 4 Department of Family Medicine, Western University, London, Canada
- 5 Department of Medicine, Western University, London, Canada

Correspondence: Sarah Cuschieri, Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine & Surgery, Biomedical Building, University of Malta, Msida MSD2080, Malta, e-mail: sarah.cuschieri@um.edu.mt

The end of 2019—beginning of 2020 imposed unprecedented stress on every country as the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV2) spread across the globe resulting in the Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Every country, large and small, fell victim to this burden resulting in governments instituting various mitigation measures to curb the viral spread and protect their population.

Small countries, defined as having 2 million or less inhabitants, are regularly overlooked in public health circles and considered featuring similar characteristics as larger countries but at a smaller scale. While this may be true for some aspects, small countries face unique challenges and advantages related to public health governance, healthcare services delivery and economic sustainability. The COVID-19 pandemic is a great example to highlight these factors, while bringing forward lessons learnt that may be translated as crucial evidence for future pandemic preparedness.

During the first COVID-19 wave, small countries fared well with low case numbers and fatalities, attributed to the swift implementation of restrictions including lockdowns and closure of borders, especially feasible for island states. 1,2 In addition, the reliance on centralized public health systems in most small countries allows for more rapid and coordinated responses, as compared to larger countries often characterized by decentralization and fragmentation of healthcare and surveillance systems.² Small counties share additional advantageous characteristics that potentially provided the upper hand in the pandemic preparedness including the ease of seeking information transnationally. Public health professionals sit on multiple international round table discussions which provides them with a large network of contacts.³ The geographical size of these countries enables public health systems to adopt swiftly to deal with a crisis including a pandemic. Although small countries face limited public health resources and are highly susceptible to system overwhelm including hospital capacity.² This results in shifting public health strategies from containment to mitigation, with high probability of viral resurgence once restrictions are eased.

Tourism plays a crucial role for most small countries, especially those with limited natural resources, for sustainability of their economy, healthcare systems along with other public services.² Therefore, the closure of borders, airports and seaports (in islands) as part of the COVID-19 restrictions negatively impacted on small countries'

economic sector. This led to an urgency to lift COVID-19 measures as cases subsided, to open the tourism sector. It was anticipated that such actions will induce COVID-19 resurgence. However, those choosing to abruptly lift measures experienced an early increase in case load and mortality burden.^{1,2} Consequently, instead of luring tourists towards the country, the tourism sector suffered a blow due to the spike in COVID-19 incidence. However, for small countries, whose economy could rely on good budgetary margins, with a strong component of tertiary sector mainly business, financial and communication services it was easier to cope with the pandemic shock and the new working environment imposed by the restrictions.

Dependency on neighbour larger countries for goods and workforce is another struggle experienced by small countries especially during COVID-19 lockdown periods. This is vital if an important part of the essential workforce, including hospital and primary care health professionals, are cross border workers. Indeed, one may argue the effectiveness of such measures as landlocked small countries were observed to have experienced similar epidemiological trends as that of their larger country/countries neighbours.¹ Although this highlights the importance of cross-country governance and the need for countries not to work in silos.

Clear communication between authorities and the public was imperative to ascertain the population follows mandates and later to get inoculated with COVID-19 vaccination. Small countries' public health authorities have direct contact with central government which limits their public communication independency with the narrative commonly deviated towards the ruling government, irrespective whether it is for the population's health benefit.4

COVID-19 vaccine access differed across small countries, with those forming part of the European Union procurement agreement having earlier access to approved vaccines. 1,5 Procurement of vaccines varied for the rest of the small countries, some undertaking direct agreements with vaccines' companies, while others relaying on their neighbouring countries. 1,5 Although similar vaccination strategies and campaigns were implemented across small European countries, with an overall successful vaccination population coverage even if countries struggled to vaccinate individuals with restricted mobility that could not easily reach the vaccination sites.⁵

The pandemic challenged every country irrespective of the country size, yet small countries experienced additional hardships pertaining to their 'smallness', that is typically overlooked when transnational agendas are formulated. Despite this, it is evident that swift implementation of measures and dissemination of unilateral authorities' communication to the public are advantages characteristics that could be executed across any country, small or large.

Conflicts of interest: None declared.

References

1 Cuschieri S, Attard Mallia T, Piscopo E, et al. The COVID-19 tale of the six European Microstates: how did these "overlooked" microstates fare? Int J Epidemiol Health Sci 2022;3:1.

- 2 Calleja N, Gualtieri A, Terzic N, et al. Managing COVID-19 in four small countries: initial response to the pandemic in San Marino, Montenegro, Malta and Cyprus. Health Policy 2022;126:281–6.
- 3 Azzopardi-Muscat N, Vassallo P, Calleja N, et al. Health information systems in small countries of the WHO European region: report from the small countries health information network. *Public Health Panorama* 2016;02:279–84.
- 4 Darren Lilleker IAC, Gregor, M, Novelli, E. Political Communication and COVID-19 Governance and Rhetoric in Times of Crisis. London, UK: Taylor & Francis, 2021.
- 5 Palmer K, Nemer L, Menne B. How has the COVID-19 Vaccination been Rolled Out in Small Countries Within the European Region? Brussels, Belgium: European Observatory on Health Systems and Policies, 2021.