

Editorial

Artificial Intelligence in Planning Oral Rehabilitations: Current Status

Arthur Rodriguez Gonzalez Cortes 

Department of Dental Surgery, Faculty of Dental Surgery, University of Malta, 2080 Msida, Malta; arthur.nogueira@um.edu.mt

Diagnostic digital 3D images, such as cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), have been widely evaluated quantitatively and qualitatively regarding their diagnostic performance for identify various alterations. Digital 3D methods for imaging acquisition have also been used along with computer-aided design and computer-aided manufacturing (CAD-CAM) for several clinical applications in oral rehabilitation [1,2]. The current advantages of these methods compared to the traditional analogous ones have been described in a previous Editorial published in this journal [3]. In general, digital workflow in dentistry enhances predictability and some of the clinical outcomes, such as dental prosthetic adaptation and trueness, with shorter total treatment times [1]. In this context, artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms have been used to further orient dentists regarding the diagnosis and prognosis of several types of clinical situations [4–15].

The concept of AI has generally been defined as the technology required for a machine to perform tasks that usually require human intelligence. To date, dental treatments have used weak AI, which is the one used for simple tasks and the recognition of objects. Among the main AI methods used in dentistry is machine learning, which was further developed to deep learning and neural networks. The latter, in turn, was also upgraded to convolutional neural networks, which require less training data, for instance, to recognize alterations or features in an image [1].

Among the main clinical diagnostic applications of AI described in dental research are the detection of anatomical structures [2], caries [4], periapical lesions [5], periodontal bone loss [6], root fractures [7], odontogenic tumors [8], and even malignant diseases [9]. Furthermore, AI has been used to predict periodontal prognosis [10], need of orthodontic treatment [11], and debonding of resin composite crowns [12]. These studies generally presented favorable or promising results and accuracy levels using either optical or radiographic images as training data.

Another important group of applications of AI in dentistry that is becoming a focus of current research is the use of automated software features for decision making and dental treatment planning [13]. Since oral rehabilitations conducted with digital workflows are usually prosthetically driven, automated tooth segmentation as well as the design of crowns and fixed bridges should receive special attention from clinicians, due to a clinically relevant potential of expediting virtual waxing procedure with a satisfactory outcome [14]. In esthetic areas, automated tooth-shade-matching tools could also become important for dental prosthetic planning [15]. However, the aforementioned study found discrepancies between the method involving AI and traditional clinical shade matching.

In image guided-implant surgery, AI can be used to export Digital Imaging and Communication in Medicine (DICOM) files from CBCT as high-quality Standard Tessellation Language (STL) files of 3D-reconstructed models with optimized threshold levels that eliminate undesired artifacts [2]. This, in turn, enables practitioners to carry out adequate alignments and superimpositions between CBCT and intraoral scans, which are very important for implant surgical planning, since the conditions of both hard and soft tissues will



Citation: Cortes, A.R.G. Artificial Intelligence in Planning Oral Rehabilitations: Current Status. *Appl. Sci.* **2024**, *14*, 4093. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app14104093>

Received: 22 April 2024

Revised: 3 May 2024

Accepted: 9 May 2024

Published: 11 May 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

affect implant placement and even bone graft procedures. These surgical plans should also be prosthetically driven, emphasizing the importance of software knowledge if a digital workflow is being used. It is also noteworthy that a series of AI algorithms are already present in CAD-CAM systems [1].

In conclusion, it is therefore suggested that AI has the potential to facilitate and expedite the treatment planning of oral rehabilitations, regardless of whether surgical procedures are required or not. Nevertheless, clinical decisions during treatment planning should still be based on scientific evidence and on accepted theories, such as the principles of occlusion. The control and responsibility over these clinical decisions during oral rehabilitations still belong to dental professionals, who should have increased software-specific knowledge in order to take advantage of the digital workflow as well as AI features and tools to their full extent. Finally, one should also recognize that the research on AI in dentistry is still most likely in its early stages.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

1. Cortes, A.R.G. *Digital Dentistry: A Step-by-Step Guide and Case Atlas*, 1st ed.; Wiley Blackwell: Hoboken NJ, USA, 2022; pp. 12–15.
2. Ayres, A.P.; Stival Correa, D.; de Moura e Costa, A.J.; Pradiés, G.; Rodriguez Gonzalez Cortes, A. The Use of Artificial Intelligence to Create a Virtual Patient for Oral and Maxillofacial Surgical Planning. *Sci. Lett.* **2024**, *1*, 6.
3. Cortes, A.R.G. Digital versus Conventional Workflow in Oral Rehabilitations: Current Status. *Appl. Sci.* **2022**, *12*, 3710. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Kühnisch, J.; Meyer, O.; Hesenius, M.; Hickel, R.; Gruhn, V. Caries Detection on Intraoral Images Using Artificial Intelligence. *J. Dent. Res.* **2022**, *101*, 158–165. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
5. Ba-Hattab, R.; Barhom, N.; Osman, S.A.A.; Naceur, I.; Odeh, A.; Asad, A.; Al-Najdi, S.A.R.N.; Ameri, E.; Daer, A.; Silva, R.L.B.D.; et al. Detection of Periapical Lesions on Panoramic Radiographs Using Deep Learning. *Appl. Sci.* **2023**, *13*, 1516. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Krois, J.; Ekert, T.; Meinhold, L.; Golla, T.; Kharbot, B.; Wittemeier, A.; Dörfer, C.; Schwendicke, F. Deep learning for the radiographic detection of periodontal bone loss. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 8495. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
7. Fukuda, M.; Inamoto, K.; Shibata, N.; Ariji, Y.; Yanashita, Y.; Kutsuna, S.; Nakata, K.; Katsumata, A.; Fujita, H.; Ariji, E. Evaluation of an artificial intelligence system for detecting vertical root fracture on panoramic radiography. *Oral. Radiol.* **2020**, *36*, 337–343. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
8. Poedjiastoeti, W.; Suebnukarn, S. Application of Convolutional Neural Network in the Diagnosis of Jaw Tumors. *Healthc. Inform. Res.* **2018**, *24*, 236–241. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
9. Aubreville, M.; Knipfer, C.; Oetter, N.; Jaremenko, C.; Rodner, E.; Denzler, J.; Bohr, C.; Neumann, H.; Stelzle, F.; Maier, A. Automatic Classification of Cancerous Tissue in Laserendomicroscopy Images of the Oral Cavity Using Deep Learning. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 11979. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Kim, E.H.; Kim, S.; Kim, H.J.; Jeong, H.O.; Lee, J.; Jang, J.; Joo, J.Y.; Shin, Y.; Kang, J.; Park, A.K.; et al. Prediction of Chronic Periodontitis Severity Using Machine Learning Models Based on Salivary Bacterial Copy Number. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2020**, *10*, 571515. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Thanathornwong, B. Bayesian-Based Decision Support System for Assessing the Needs for Orthodontic Treatment. *Healthc. Inform. Res.* **2018**, *24*, 22–28. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Yamaguchi, S.; Lee, C.; Karaer, O.; Ban, S.; Mine, A.; Imazato, S. Predicting the Debonding of CAD/CAM Composite Resin Crowns with AI. *J. Dent. Res.* **2019**, *98*, 1234–1238. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Jung, S.K.; Kim, T.W. New approach for the diagnosis of extractions with neural network machine learning. *Am. J. Orthod. Dentofac. Orthop.* **2016**, *149*, 127–133. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Tian, S.; Wang, M.; Dai, N.; Ma, H.; Li, L.; Fiorenza, L.; Sun, Y.; Li, Y. DCPR-GAN: Dental Crown Prosthesis Restoration Using Two-Stage Generative Adversarial Networks. *IEEE J. Biomed. Health Inform.* **2022**, *26*, 151–160. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Wei, J.; Peng, M.; Li, Q.; Wang, Y. Evaluation of a Novel Computer Color Matching System Based on the Improved Back-Propagation Neural Network Model. *J. Prosthodont.* **2018**, *27*, 775–783. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.