MATRICULATION AND SECONDARY EDUCATION CERTIFICATE EXAMINATIONS BOARD UNIVERSITY OF MALTA, MSIDA

MATRICULATION CERTIFICATE EXAMINATION ADVANCED LEVEL MAY 2012

SUBJECT: PHILOSOPHY

PAPER NUMBER: I

DATE: 21st May 2012 **TIME:** 4.00 p.m. to 7.00 p.m.

Directions to Candidates

Answer **THREE** questions in all, **ONE** from **EACH** section. Each question carries equal marks.

Section A: Logic

- 1. (a) In **not more than 10 lines**, explain what is meant by a *complete system of junctors*, giving an example of one such system.
 - (b) Express the following propositions symbolically:

(The dance studio Petra attends holds classes every day from Monday to Friday).

- (i) Petra went to the studio on at least one day.
- (ii) It is not the case that Petra went to the studio everyday.
- (iii) Petra went to the studio on Wednesday if she did not go on Tuesday.
- (iv) Petra went to the studio on Wednesday only if she did not go on Tuesday.
- (v) Petra went to the studio either on Thursday or on Friday.
- (c) (i) Write down three propositions W, X, Y whose truth-tables are shown below, using only the elementary propositions a, b and c, and the junctors ¬, ∧, ∨ and brackets. (The first proposition W is **true** precisely when b is true and a and c are false, the second X is **true** precisely when a is true and b and c are false. Proposition Y is to be derived from the other two propositions W and X.)
 - (ii) Proposition Z is **false** precisely when a and c are true and b is false and when a is false and b and c are true. Write down such a proposition Z.

a	b	c	W	X	Y	Z
T	T	T	F	F	F	T
T	T	F	F	F	F	T
T	F	T	F	F	F	F
T	F	F	F	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	F	F	F
F	T	F	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	F	F	F	T
F	F	F	F	F	F	T

- (d) (i) By translating symbolically and working out the truth-tables find out whether the two propositions below are logically equivalent:
 - (I) If Max stayed at home and did his homework then he took a break.
 - (II) If Max stayed at home then he took a break or he did not do his homework.
 - (ii) The validity of which one of the following standard equivalences has been proved by the working out of the truth-table above?

(I)	contraposition	$(a \land b) \rightarrow c >< (a \land \neg c) \rightarrow \neg b$
(II)	\vee is distributive over \rightarrow	$a \lor (b \rightarrow c) >< (a \lor b) \rightarrow (a \lor c)$
(III)	\rightarrow is distributive over \vee	$a \rightarrow (b \lor c) >< (a \rightarrow b) \lor (a \rightarrow c)$
(IV)	transportation	$(a \land b) \rightarrow c >< a \rightarrow (c \lor \neg b)$

- (iii) Write down in words another proposition that may be derived from proposition d(i) (I) above and which is also logically equivalent to it by using a different standard equivalence to the one already used.
- (e) (i) What is meant by an **interpretation** of a formula?
 - (ii) What is meant by a **model** of a formula?
 - (iii) For each of the following formulae write down one interpretation which is a model.
 - (I) $(a \leftrightarrow b) \lor \neg c$ (II) $(a \rightarrow b) \land \neg (a \lor c)$
- (f) Fill in the blanks:
 - (i) For \neg (a \lor b) to be T, a \lor b must be ___ and so a must be ___ and b must be ___ .
 - (ii) For \neg (a \land b) to be F, a \land b must be ___ and so a must be ___ and b must be ___ .
 - (iii) Therefore it cannot be the case that \neg (a \lor b) is T and \neg (a \land b) is F simultaneously as
 - (iv) Therefore the implication \neg (a \lor b) $< \neg$ (a \land b) is valid as _______
- (g) Write down a proposition constructed out of the elementary propositions \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} and the logical particles \neg and \rightarrow and whose truth-table is as follows.

a	b	a*b
T	T	F
T	F	T
F	T	T
F	F	T

- 2. (a) Does the method of constructive logic consist in describing the way that words such as 'and', 'or' and 'if... then' are used in English? **Answer in not more than 10 lines**.
 - (b) Rebecca has two hats, one red and one blue, which may fit into three boxes X, Y and Z. Express the following propositions symbolically:
 - (i) No hat fits in box X.
 - (ii) Unless both hats fit in box Y, they both fit in box X.
 - (iii) It is not the case that the blue hat fits in all the boxes.
 - (iv) At least one hat fits in all the boxes.

AM 25/I.12m

(c) (i) Write down the truth-tables of the formulae: $a\lor b$, $a\land \neg a$, b, $a\lor \neg a$, $a\land b$ as underneath.

a	b	a∨b	a ∧¬ a	b	a ∨¬ a	a∧b
T	T					
T	F					
F	T					
F	F					

- (ii) Arrange the five formulae in order such that moving from left to right each formula would imply the next.
- (iii) What are the names given to formulae which have the truth-table of those placed first and last respectively in the answer to (c) (ii) above?
- (d) Fill in the blanks:
 - (i) $A \wedge B$ _____ (commutativity of \wedge)
 - (ii) $A \land (B \land C) ><$ _____ (associativity of \land)
 - (iii) $A \wedge (B \wedge C) ><$ _____ (self-distributivity of \wedge)
 - (iv) $A \rightarrow B$,, ___ < ___ (transitivity of \rightarrow)
 - (v) $A \rightarrow B$, ___ < ___ (Modus Ponens)
 - (vi) A→B,, ___< ___(Modus Tollens)
 - (vii) $A \rightarrow B ><$ _____ (contraposition)
- (e) The validity of the implication $(A \lor B) \lor B < A \lor B$ may be proved without truth-tables as follows:

Fill in the blanks with the reason for each step.

- (f) (i) Fill in the blanks: $A \land B <$ (de Morgan)
 - (ii) Prove the validity of the answer to (i) by showing that one cannot assign the value T to the premise and value F to the conclusion simultaneously.
 - (iii) State the Duality Principle.
 - (iv) Dualise the implication in (f)(i) above.
 - (v) Use the Duality Principle to find out whether the answer to (iv) is valid.
- (g) F is a formula containing a and b as primary formulae such that $a \sqcup b \bowtie (avb) \land F$ is valid. Also F is not equivalent to $(a \sqcup b)$. Write down the **truth-table** of such a formula F.

AM 25/I.12m

Section B: Philosophy of Language

- 3. Discuss the nature of naturalism, conventionalism and the way these two can be combined.
- 4. David Cooper claims that an 'enquiry into the meaning of meaning should attend to what count as explanations of meaning'. Discuss.

Section C: History of Philosophy

- 5. How does Descartes move from doubt to certainty in the *Meditations*? Uri kif Descartes johroġ mid-dubju u jasal ghaċ-ċertezza fil-*Meditazzjonijiet*.
- 6. Write a short essay on any aspect of the philosophy of **either** John Locke **or** David Hume. Ikteb essay qasir fuq xi aspett tal-filosofija ta' **jew** John Locke **jew** David Hume.

MATRICULATION AND SECONDARY EDUCATION CERTIFICATE EXAMINATIONS BOARD UNIVERSITY OF MALTA, MSIDA

MATRICULATION CERTIFICATE EXAMINATION ADVANCED LEVEL MAY 2012

SUBJECT: PHILOSOPHY

PAPER NUMBER:

DATE: 22nd May 2012 **TIME:** 4.00 p.m. to 7.00 p.m.

Answer **THREE** questions in all, **ONE** from **EACH** section. Each question carries equal marks.

Section A: Ethics

- 1. What is the relation between choice and the flourishing of a person's life?
- 2. Discuss the Existentialist concept of 'radical freedom'.

Section B: Selected Texts I (Classical and Modern)

- 3. Discuss Plato's distinction between the spoken and the written word in the *Phaedrus*.
- 4. Discuss Aristotle's analysis of virtuous action in the *Nicomachaen Ethics*.
- 5. Outline Mill's account of the relationship between the individual and the state in *On Liberty*.

AM 25/II.12m

Section C: Selected Texts II (Contemporary)

- 6. Discuss Ryle's distinction between knowing how and knowing that.
- 7. Discuss Austin's distinction between performative and constative utterances.
- 8. Discuss Taylor's solution to the problem of individualism in *The Ethics of Authenticity*.
- 9. Discuss Gadamer's analysis of festivals in *The Relevance of the Beautiful and Other Essays*.